



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/083,822  | 02/27/2002     | Shaygan Kheradpir    | 01-1004                 | 6524             |
| 32127 75  | 590 05/20/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.               |                |                      | CHOW, MING              |                  |
| C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN<br>600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| MAILCODE HQEO3H14                                   |                |                      | 2645                    |                  |
| IRVING, TX 75038                                    |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |     | Applicant(s)     | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|-----|------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/083,822      |     | KHERADPIR ET AL. | KHERADPIR ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        |     | Art Unit         |                  |  |
| Ming Chow       | (m) | 2645             |                  |  |

| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with t  | the correspondence address   |
|--|--|
| THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO  | R ALLOWANCE.   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notic this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The replication position.   | t, affidavit, or other evidence, which in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)           |
| time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the linar rejection.</u>  | forth in the final rejection, whichever is leter. It                                     |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the n  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN   | nailing date of the final rejection.   |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFI have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee   |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mus filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>  | )), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a b  | orief, will not be entered because   |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see  | NOTE below);   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by material<br>appeal; and/or   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally   | y rejected claims.   |
| NOTE: <u>The amendments raised new issues</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Nor  | n-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separ non-allowable claim(s).   | _  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | J will be entered and an explanation of  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,14-17.   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing<br>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the aff<br>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered fidavit or other evidence is necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented  | ppeal and/or appellant fails to provide a  |
| 10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims aft   |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application ———   | on in condition for allowance because:   |
| <ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>   | per No(s)  |
|  | FAN TSANG<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600                       |
|  | 7 4  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 05110